Data assimilation over Northern polar region using WRF and WRFDA

Zhiquan Liu (liuz@ucar.edu)
(NCAR/MMM)

Hui-Chuan Lin & Ying-Hwa Kuo (NCAR/MMM)

Dave Bromwich & Lesheng Bai (OSU)

NCAR is sponsored by the National Science Foundation

Outline

- Arctic System Reanalysis: analysis/forecast cycle
- Importance of radiance monitoring
- Preliminary evaluation of results from a 2-year test
  - GPSRO impact
  - Radiance impact
  - Compare ASR-60km to ERA-Interim

ASR reanalysis scheme



WRFDA-3DVAR analyses are performed in 3-hr intervals

WRF model configuration

- Polar WRF
  - WSM5
  - RRTMG
  - MYNN2.5
  - NoahLSM
  - Fractional Sea ice
  - GWD
  - DFI
  - No Nudging
  - Polar projection
  - 60km/10hPa top
  - 180*180*71L



Observations used in ASR

- Surface
  - U/V, T, Q, P: SYNOP, METAR, SHIPS, BUOY, SONDE_SFC,
  - U/V: QSCAT
- Upper air
  - SOUND (U/V, T, Q), AIREP (U/V, T), PROFILER (U/V), GEOAMV (U/V)
- GPS Radio Occultation (refractivity)
  - Use data between 2km~18km
- Microwave radiances from polar satellites
  - Brightness temperature

observation coverage snapshot

2007120100±1.5h



- synop
+ metar
- ship
- buoy
* sound
- gpsref
- profiler
- airep
- quikscat
- geoamv

✓**More than 4000 surface stations**
✓**Around 300 sounding stations**

NOAA-15 coverage snapshot



Radiance data are subject to quality control related to surface characteristics and cloud/rain screening

Outline

- Arctic System Reanalysis: analysis/forecast cycle
- Importance of radiance monitoring
- Preliminary evaluation of results from a 2-year test
  - GPSRO impact
  - Radiance impact
  - Compare ASR-60km to ERA-Interim

MW radiance data used (2000~)

	AMSU-A	AMSU-B	MHS
NOAA-15	X	X	
NOAA-16	X	X	
NOAA-17		X	
NOAA-18	X		X
NOAA-19	X		X
METOP-2	X		X
EOS-2 (Aqua)	X		

Total 12 sensors

AMSU-A: assimilate channels 5~9.
AMSU-B/MHS: assimilate channels 3~5.

Data availability depends on year.

Need to carefully do sensor/channel selection and bias correction.

Decision from 2-yr radiance monitoring

- Turn-off following sensors/channels
  - Noaa-16-amsua ch-9 (according to NCEP Jack Woolen's table, not from monitoring)
  - Metop-2-amsua ch-7
  - Noaa-17-amsub ch-4
  - Noaa-15/16-amsub all channels

A radiance monitoring run from 2000 has been done to guide sensor/channel selection for OSU production run.


Bias Correction
stdv of O-B
noaa-15-amsua
noaa-16-amsua
eos-2-amsua
noaa-18-amsua
metop-2-amsua
Mean (K)
Stdv (K)
2001/01/24
2004/04/13
2005/08/23
2007/04/24
ch9
ch8
ch7
ch6
ch5
2000
2001
2002
2003
2004
2005
2006
2007
2008


Bias Correction
stdv of O-B
noaa-15-amsub
noaa-16-amsub
noaa-17-amsub
noaa-18-mhs
metop-2-mhs
Mean (K)
Stdv (K)
ch5
ch4
ch3
2001/01/24
2002/09/11
2005/08/23
2007/04/24
2000
2001
2002
2003
2004
2005
2006
2007
2008

Outline

- Arctic System Reanalysis: analysis/forecast cycle
- Importance of radiance monitoring
- Preliminary evaluation of results from a 2-year test
  - GPSRO impact
  - Radiance impact
  - Compare ASR-60km to ERA-Interim

GPSRO impact: 3h forecasts vs. ERA-I analysis



GPSRO impact: 3h forecasts vs. ERA-I analysis



Outline

- Arctic System Reanalysis: analysis/forecast cycle
- Importance of radiance monitoring
- Preliminary evaluation of results from a 2-year test
  - GPSRO impact
  - Radiance impact
  - Compare ASR-60km to ERA-Interim

Radiance impact: 3h forecast vs. Sound



2-yr aggregated statistics.

Forecasts valid at 00 Z,

i.e., initialized from analyses at 21 Z, when very few sounding obs available.

NOTE: both exps. Include GPSRO data.

Radiance impact: 3h forecast vs. GPSRO





Outline

- Arctic System Reanalysis: analysis/forecast cycle
- Importance of radiance monitoring
- Preliminary evaluation of results from a 2-year test
  - GPSRO impact
  - Radiance impact
  - Compare ASR-60km to ERA-Interim

ASR-60km vs. ERA-Interim: against SYNOP

[rmse(ERA)-rmse(ASR)] / rmse(ERA)



ASR-60km vs. ERA-Interim: against SYNOP

bias(ASR) / bias(ERA)









ASR-60km vs. ERA-Interim: against SOUND



ASR-60km vs. ERA-Interim: against GPSRO





ASR-60km vs. ERA-Interim: T2m



ERA-Interim



ASR-60km

Summary

- Careful radiance sensor/channel selection and bias correction through monitoring is critical to get positive impact from radiance data.

- ASR reanalysis fits well to surface Ps/T2m/U10/V10 and upper-air T/Q

- Need some further work to improve fitting to Q2m and upper-air wind